IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

&

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE P.LAKSHMANA REDDY

WRIT APPEAL NO : 9 of 1999

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order, dated 06.07.1998 in WP NO :19647 OF 1995 on the file of the High Court.)

Between:

1. Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board represented by its Director Personnel, Vidyut Soudha, Somajiguda, Hyderabad.

2. Superintending Engineer, Operation Circle, Hyderabad City, Mint Compound, Hyderabad.

..... APPELLANTS

AND

V. Narender Rao

.....RESPONDENT

Counsel for the Appellants: MR.M.SREERAMULU REDDY

(not present

Counsel for the Respondent.: MS.R.ANNAPURNA

This Court made the following:

JUDGMENT: (Per the Honourable Smt. Justice T.Meena Kumari)

When the matter is called, no representation is made on behalf of the appellants though this matter was listed under the caption “for dismissal”.

Hence, the writ appeal is dismissed for default. No order as to costs.

_____

T. Meena Kumari, J

_____

P. Lakshmana Reddy, J

Date: 22nd June, 2005.

nn/rkk

Copy to:

1. The Director Personnel, Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board Vidyut Soudha, Somajiguda, Hyderabad.

2. The Superintending Engineer, Operation Circle,

Hyderabad City, Mint Compound, Hyderabad.

3. Two C.D.copies.